UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandriu, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,610	12/19/2001	Chenghui Wang	01P04874US01	3010
7590 11/20/2007 Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D	
Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/027,610	WANG, CHENGHUI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven H.D Nguyen	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2007.					
,	,					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,17-19 and 21-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-19</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 21-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	l					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) [Notice of Informal P	5) Totice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/027,610 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Madour (US USP 6904025).

Madour discloses a system and method comprising processing attaching a mobile to SGSN and initiating a PDP context activation at SGSN (Col. 6, lines 18-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madour (US USP 6904025) in view of Surdila (US 20020110104).

Regarding claims 4 and 26, Madour discloses a system and method comprising processing point attach and initiating a PDP context activation at SGSN (Col. 6, lines 18-36).

Art Unit: 2619

However, Madour fails to disclose triggering a sip request from a sip user agent residing in the GPRS. In the same field of endeavor, Surdila discloses a hybrid gateway (Fig 2, ref 51) that includes a UAC (Fig 3, Ref 58) for generating a sip request (Fig 2, Ref 25 and 27).

Since, it has been held that there would be no invention in shifting the location parts, *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a UAC function into the SGSN into the teaching of Surdila into the SGSN of Madour by integrating two server into one server. The motivation would have been to reduce the cost of the system.

5. Claims 1, 5 and 21-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surdila (US 20020110104).

Surdila discloses a GPRS network comprising at least one mobile station and a SGSN comprising means for communicating with the mobile (Fig 2, SGSN 17 communicates with the mobiles 14 and 32), means for sending a SIP request (Fig 2, Ref 51-52) for services to a SIP application server (Fig 2, Ref 25 and 27) comprising UAC and inherently discloses means for triggering multimedia services because Fig 2 discloses a multimedia services system.

Since, it has been held that there would be no invention in shifting the location parts, *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a UAC function into the SGSN into the teaching of Surdila. The motivation would have been to reduce the cost of the system.

6. Claims 2-3 and 6-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surdila (US 20020110104) in view of Madour (USP 6904025)

Application/Control Number: 10/027,610

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claims 2-3 and 6-7, Surdila fails to disclose means for initiating PDP context activation comprising means for activating a PDP context at a detection point or point attach. In the same field of endeavor, Madour discloses a system and method comprising processing point attach and initiating a PDP context activation at GPRS (Col. 6, lines 18-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for initiating a PDPcontext request at a point as disclosed by Madour into Surdila. The motivation would have been to reduce the cost of the system.

Regarding claims 8-10, Surdila and Madour fail to disclose implement push services, presence status and push prepaid recharging service. However, the examiner take an official notice that a method and system for implementing push services, presence status and push prepaid recharging service are well known and expected in the art at the time on invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply these functions into the teaching of Surdila and Mizell. The motivation would have to detect a device online, performing billing, provide information to the users.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 17-19 allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,610

Art Unit: 2619

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven H.D Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayanti Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven H.D Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2619